

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 082968
ORIGIN IO-02

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EUR-02 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: IO/SCT:ADJILLSON

APPROVED BY: IO/SA:BSWOPE

-----140608Z 061878 /10

R 132010Z APR 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO RUFNPS/AMEMBASSY PARIS 0000

AMEMBASSY BONN

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 082968

FOLLOWING REPEAT IAEA VIENNA 1987 ACTION SECSTATE INFO USERDA HQ
GERMANTOWN PRETORIA LONDON CANBERRA OTTAWA CAPE TOWN 15 MAR 77

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 1987

DEPT PASS IO/SCT

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: AORG, IAEA, PARM, SF

SUBJECT: FUTURE OF SOUTH AFRICA ON IAEA BOARD OF GOVERNORS:
A POSSIBLE SOLUTION

REF: (A) 76 IAEA VIENNA 8578 (NOTAL), (B) 76 IAEA VIENNA 8768
(NOTAL) (C) 76 IAEA VIENNA 9990 (NOTAL)

1. SUMMARY. MISSION RECOMMENDS DEPT. CONSIDER PARTICIPATING
IN JOINT OR COORDINATED APPROACHES TO SAG AIMED AT PERSUADING
SAG TO TAKE POSITIVE STEP RE NPT ADHERENCE (OR ACCEPTABLE
ALTERNATIVE) AS AN INDISPENSABLE CONDITION OF ANY ARRANGEMENT
WHICH WOULD SECURE CONTINUED MEMBERSHIP OF SOUTH AFRICA
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 082968

ON IAEA BOARD OF GOVERNORS. END SUMMARY.

2. DISCUSSIONS I HAVE HAD RECENTLY WITH MY COLLEAGUES IN
THE CANADIAN AUSTRALIAN, AND UK MISSIONS HAVE PRODUCED
GENERAL AGREEMENT AMONG US THAT IF MATTERS ARE LEFT TO
TAKE THEIR OWN COURSE, THE CHANCES OF SOUTH AFRICA BEING
AGAIN DESIGNATED TO THE BOARD AS AN "ADVANCED MEMBER"

ARE VIRTUALLY NIL, AND THAT IF SAG IS REMOVED FROM THE BOARD, ITS FUTURE IN THE AGENCY WILL BECOME DOUBTFUL WHICH WILL RAISE SERIOUS CONCERNS RELATED TO THE NON-PROLIFERATION EFFORT. IT WILL ALSO, AS NOTED IN REF A, PARA 7, BRING INTO QUESTION FUTURE CREDIBILITY OF THE BOARD, WHICH IS THE PRINCIPAL ORGAN OF THE AGENCY INsofar AS SAFEGUARDS POLICIES ARE CONCERNED. IN SHORT, AS MISSION HAS OBSERVED IN THE PAST, IT IS IN INTEREST OF U.S.G. AS WELL AS OF ENTIRE AGENCY MEMBERSHIP TO MAINTAIN ACTIVE INTEREST AND PARTICIPATION OF SAG IN IAEA. WE HAVE ALSO AGREED THAT POSSIBILITIES EXIST FOR A SOLUTION WHICH WOULD MAINTAIN BOARD MEMBERSHIP FOR SAG WHILE RESPONDING TO INSISTENCE ON PART OF OTHER AFRICAN STATES THAT AREA OF AFRICA NOT RPT NOT BE "REPRESENTED" ON BOARD BY SAG. POSSIBLE SCENARIOS FOR SUCH A SOLUTION ARE SET FORTH BELOW.

3. IT IS OUR CONSIDERED OPINION, HOWEVER, THAT REGARDLESS OF THE MODALITIES, A SINE QUA NON OF ANY ARRANGEMENT ACCEPTABLE TO THE AFRICANS IS A POSITIVE AND CONVINCING STEP BY SAG TOWARD ADHERENCE TO THE NPT. AS REPORTED REFS B AND C, SENEGALESE CHAIRMAN OF BOARD (CISSE) HAS CITED THIS AS A MINIMUM REQUIREMENT OF SOUTH AFRICA'S CONTINUED BOARD MEMBERSHIP. THIS POSITIVE ACT COULD TAKE VARIOUS FORMS SHORT OF ACTUAL NPT ADHERENCE PRIOR TO JUNE BOARD. AN AUTHORITATIVE PUBLIC STATEMENT THAT SAG WAS REVIEWING ITS POSITION REGARDING NPT IN A FAVORABLE MANNER COULD BE EFFECTIVE. SAG MIGHT ALSO BE WILLING, AS COMPLEMENTARY AND CONVINCING-

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 082968

ING GESTURE, TO FOLLOW EXAMPLE ALREADY SET BY JAPAN AND ANNOUNCE ITS READINESS TO ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH AGENCY ON AN NPT-TYPE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT IN ADVANCE OF ITS ADHERING TO NPT. YET ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE A STATEMENT OF SAG WILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT AGENCY SAFEGUARDS ON ALL ITS NUCLEAR FACILITIES (AS CONTEMPLATED BY UK INITIATIVE IN IAEA LAST YEAR) IN LIEU OF NPT ADHERENCE.

4. SHOULD SAG DECIDE TO TAKE THIS STEP, THE WAY WOULD BE OPEN FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOW TO MEET THE SECOND ESSENTIAL CONDITION OF ITS CONTINUED BOARD MEMBERSHIP; I.E., THAT SOUTH AFRICA NOT APPEAR TO "REPRESENT" AFRICAN REGION ON BOARD. IN THIS CONNECTION, WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE FOLLOWING ARRANGEMENT WHICH WE THINK HAS MERIT AS AN APPROACH TO THE AFRICAN STATES. ARTICLE VI A.1 OF THE STATUTE PROVIDES THAT THE BOARD DESIGNATE TO THE NEW BOARD THE NINE MEMBERS MOST ADVANCED IN THE TECHNOLOGY OF NUCLEAR ENERGY, "AND THE MEMBER MOST ADVANCED... IN EACH (OF THE AGENCY'S EIGHT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS) IN

WHICH NONE OF THE ... NINE IS LOCATED." NOTE THAT WHILE PRESENT NUMBER OF DESIGNATED BOARD MEMBERS IS AND HAS BEEN FOR SOME TIME 12, NUMBER OF DESIGNEES THEORETICALLY COULD VARY IN NUMBER FROM 9 TO 16 DEPENDING ON THE NUMBER OF AREAS REPRESENTED AMONG THE NINE. NOTE FURTHER THAT, AS POINTED OUT REF A, PARA 3, PRACTICE OF BOARD HAS BEEN SIMPLY TO DESIGNATE THESE STATES IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER, WITHOUT SPECIFYING WHICH ARE AMONG FIRST NINE. THIS BEING CASE, IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO DESIGNATE 13 "ADVANCED" STATES TO INCLUDE BOTH SOUTH AFRICA AND ANOTHER AFRICAN STATE. ASSUMPTION WHICH WOULD REQUIRE TACIT ACCEPTANCE BY OTHER MEMBERS WOULD BE EITHER THAT (A) BOTH STATES HAD REPLACED TWO UNIDENTIFIED MEMBERS UNTIL NOW PRESUMED TO BE AMONG THE 9 BUT WHICH WOULD BE PRESUMED TO HAVE SHIFTED TO "REGIONALLY ADVANCED" STATUS OR THAT (B) SOUTH AFRICA WAS NO LONGER CONSIDERED A MEMBER OF AFRICAN REGION.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 082968

5. THIS ARRANGEMENT WOULD REST ON VERY FRGILE BASE INVOLVING A UNANIMOUS, IF TACIT, AGREEMENT AMONG BOARD MEMBERS TO IGNORE REALITY AS IT RELATES TO THE DESIGNATION PROCESS, AND NOT RPT NOT TO OPENLY REQUEST THE CHAIR FOR AN EXPLANATION OF DESIGNATED STATUS. CONSIDERATION MIGHT BE GIVEN TO A MORE PERMANENT ARRANGEMENT INVOLVING AMENDMENT ARTICLE VI TO PROVIDE FOR A NINTH "REGION" OF "OTHER STATES", THIS ARRANGEMENT TO BE PART OF WHATEVER REVISION PROPOSAL COMES BEFORE THE GC THIS FALL. THIS "REGION" WOULD INCLUDE THOSE STATES, SUCH AS SOUTH AFRICA AND ISRAEL WHICH ARE POLITICALLY UNACCEPTABLE TO OTHER MEMBERS OF THOSE AREAS. SUCH AN AGREEMENT WOULD PROBABLY RESULT IN SOME SORT OF ROTATIONAL ARRANGEMENT AMONG THESE TWO IN SHARING THE DESIGNATED SEAT FOR THE NEW "REGION", SIMILAR TO THE BRAZIL/ ARGENTINA ROTATION NOW PRACTICED.

6. WE DO HAVE SOME RESERVATIONS CONCERNING THIS APPROACH. FOR ONE THING, IT DOES VIOLENCE TO THE SPIRIT IF NOT THE LETTER OF THE STATUTE. MOREOVER, IT COULD EASILY PROVOKE INSISTENCE FROM OTHER STATES, MOST NOTABLY SWEDEN AND SPAIN, THAT THEIR OWN CLAIMS TO "ADVANCED" STATUS ALSO BE ACCOMMODATED. MEXICO ALSO IS SUSPECTED OF BEING DISCONTENT WITH ROTATION OF ARGENTINA AND BRAZIL IN DESIGNATED SEAT FOR LATIN AMERICA. IF THIS GOT OUT OF HAND, DESIGNATED MEMBERS OF BOARD COULD GROW TO 16 WHICH WOULD AGGRAVATE PROBLEM WE NOW HAVE IN RESTRAINING WISH OF LDCS TO INCREASE THEIR SHARE OF ELECTIVE SEATS. ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE APPEARS TO BE NO OTHER ALTERNATIVE TO OUTRIGHT VIOLATION OF STATUTE

BY EJECTION OF SAG FROM BOARD.

7. AS STATED ABOVE, POSITIVE ACT RE NPT ON PART OF
SAG WOULD BE ESSENTIAL PREREQUISITE TO SUCCESS OF THIS
APPROACH, AND I RECOMMEND DEPT. DISCUSS WITH UK, CANADA
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 082968

AND AUSTRALIA POSSIBILITY OF MAKING JOINT OR COORDINATED
DEMARCHES ASAP TO SAG URGING THIS BE DONE (OTHER THREE
MISSIONS ARE MAKING SIMILAR RECOMMENDATIONS TO THEIR
CAPITALS). IN MAKING OUR APPROACH, I SUGGEST WE
EXPRESS OUR APPRECIATION FOR CONSTRUCTIVE CONTRIBUTION
OF SAG TO AGENCY IN PAST AND OUR CONCERN THAT ITS
ABILITY TO CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE ON BOARD IN FUTURE
IS BEING JEOPARDIZED BY EXTRANEOUS POLITICAL CONSIDERA-
TIONS; THAT WE ARE WILLING TO DO WHAT WE CAN TO ATTEMPT
TO WORK OUT ARRANGEMENT WHICH WOULD MAKE THIS POSSIBLE;
HOWEVER, WITHOUT SOME ACT ON PART OF SAG TO HELP ITS
OWN CASE BY SIGNIFYING ITS WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN
NON-PROLIFERATION REGIME, THERE WOULD APPEAR TO BE
LITTLE FURTHER WE COULD DO TO HEAD OFF INEVITABLE
THOUGH CLEARLY ILLEGAL REMOVAL OF SAG FROM BOARD. IT
SHOULD ALSO BE POINTED OUT THAT IN ORDER TO HAVE
SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON CONSULTATIONS PRIOR TO BOARD MEETING,
A SOUTH AFRICAN UNDERTAKING IN THIS DIRECTION SHOULD BE
MADE NO LATER THAN EARLY MAY. STONE

UNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DEMARCHE, MEMBERSHIP, NPT, TREATY COMPLIANCE
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 13-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE082968
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/SCT:ADJILLSON
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770129-0263
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770461/aaaacalj.tel
Line Count: 202
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 744eb2a5-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 IAEA VIENNA 8578, 76 IAEA VIENNA 8768
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2818306
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: FUTURE OF SOUTH AFRICA ON IAEA BOARD OF GOVERNORS: A POSSIBLE SOLUTION
TAGS: AORG, PARM, SF, US, UK, CA, FR, IAEA
To: PARIS BONN
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/744eb2a5-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009